

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MATTHEW NATLE
Plaintiff

V.

DENNIS O'CONNOR, et al.
Defendants

CIVIL ACTION

NO. 05-30066-MAP

**REPORT RE: REFERENCE FOR
ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

TO JUDGE PONSOR

[] The above entitled case was reported settled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR.

[X] On February 6, 2006, _____ I held the following ADR proceeding:

___ SCREENING CONFERENCE

___ EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION

X MEDIATION

___ SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL

___ MINI-TRIAL

___ SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

[X] All parties were represented by counsel [except _____]

[X] The parties were present in person or by authorized corporate officer

The case was:

[X] Settled. A 30 day order of dismissal has been entered.

[] There was progress.

[] Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This case should be restored to your trial list.

[] Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:

[] Other: _____

February 6, 2006
DATE

/s/ Kenneth P. Neiman
ADR Provider

KENNETH P. NEIMAN, U.S. Magistrate Judge